WALNUT CREEK DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION Resolution No. 1324 Amendment to Sign Program Design Review Application No. 8650

The Walnut Creek Design Review Commission does resolve as follows:

Secti n 1. Findings.

- 1. On February 20, 1985, the Design Review Commission approved Design Review Commission Resolution No. 1227 approving the remodeling of the Stoll Commercial Building located at 2221-2235 North Main Street in the P-D-C. Core Area Plan Development District.
- Approved plan showed remodeling of the building to include new awnings across the top of the building with the exception of the space occupied by Tile Town for which there was also a parapet above the awnings.
- 3. The Sign Program approved at the February 20, 1985 meeting limited signing to the awnings and/or window signing consistent with City regulations with the exception of the Tile Town space. Tile Town was allowed signing on the Parapet above the awning upon a finding that it was the only space that could aesthetically place signing in that location.
- 4. Subsequently, the owner has completed the remodeling of these buildings and installed a parapet wall on the south building as well as above Tile Town.
- 5. On Pebruary 5, 1986, the Design Review Commission considered Sign Review Application No. 85-78 requesting to amend the Sign Program for these buildings. In order to allow a sign on the parapet of the south building for a new tenant at 2225 North Main Street.
- 6. The Item was continued to February 19, 1986 for further consideration. The applicant was requested to limit the size of the sign to 18 inches and the color of the letters to blue. The applicant agreed.
- The sign as revised is designed to complement the building colors and building scale.
- 8. Signing on the parapet is easier to light and easier to read from vehicles passing by than would be signing on the awnings or windows.
- 9. A combination of signing on the awnings and parapet would be confusing to the eye, inharmonious with the building and not in keeping with sound design principles.
- 10. Based on the evidence presented at the Design Review Commission meetings listed above, the Design Review Commission hereby finds that:

- a. Signing for these buildings is most appropriately placed on the parapet wall above the awnings.
- b. Signing on the awnings is not appropriate in combination with parapet signing on these buildings based on the findings noted above.
- c. Business identification for tenants consisting of 18 inch high, internally illuminated, blue letters with five inch polished alluminum returns placed on the parapet wall above the awnings is the most aesthetically pleasing way to identify tenants of these buildings.
- d. The Commission makes the findings as outlined under Section 10-4.301, Chapter 4 (Design Review), Title 10 of the Walnut Creek Municipal Code for a Sign Program as described in "c" above.

<u>Section 2. Approval.</u> Based on the findings as set forth above, this Commission approves revision to the Sign Program as part of Design Review Application No. 8650. The program approved is described as follows:

- All tenant spaces located at 2221-2235 North Main Street which have parapet walls above the awning shall be identified by 18 inch high blue (605-0) channel letters with five inch polished alluminum returns. Each sign shall be centered on the parape't above the tenant space the sign will identify. Signs shall be internally illuminated.
- The tenant space without a parapet shall be limited to window signing consistent with City Codes unless a parapet consistent with the other parapets on this building is provided, in which case signing would be permitted on the parapet as provided in condition one above.
- 3. There shall be no roof or awning signs.
- 4. This approval supercedes signing provisions of Design Review Commission Resolution No. 1227. Specifically, Condition No. 5 of Design Review Commission Resolution No. 1227 is hereby repealed.

Section 3. Effective Date. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

The foregoing resolution was adopted on February 19, 1986, by the Walnut Creek Design Review Commission at a regular meeting thereof upon motion by Commissioner Soffa, seconded by Commissioner Rambo.

Ayes: Soffa, Rambo, Martin, Zinov

Noes: None Absent: Camp

JERRY H. SWANSON, Secretary

Juny 96. Swansa

Walnut Creek Design Review Commission

WALNUT CREEK DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION Resolution No. 1323 Sign Review Application No. 85-78 Pella Window & Door Center

The Walnut Creek Design Review Commission does resolve as follows:

Section 1. Findings.

- On December 12, 1985, Sign Review Application No. 85-78 was filed by William Dixon seeking Design Review Commission approval of a wall sign to be placed on the Stoll commercial building located at 2225 N. Main Street.
- 2. This project is categorically exempt from the requirements of CEQA under Class 11 of the Guidelines and Processing Procedures for the Review of Projects in the City of Walnut Creek.
- The Design Review Commission adopted Resolution No. 1227 on February 20, 1985 approving the remodeling of the front elevations of the two one-story commercial buildings.
- Condition No. 5 of said resolution requires all signing to be on the awning or in the window.
 - 5. Condition No. 6 of the same resolution allows no roof signs.
- Condition No. 4 of said resolution allows singing to be placed on the parapet of the southern half of the northerly building.
- 7. The northern position of the southerly building has a 36 inch high parapet that can adequately accept an individual letter sign.
- On February 5, 1986 and February 19, 1986, the Design Review Commission reviewed the plans for Sign Review Application No. 85-78.
- 9. Based on the evidence presented at that meetings, the Design Review Commission finds that:
 - a. The architectural design and placement of the sign is acceptable.
 - b. The Commission makes the findings as outlined under Section 10-4.301, Chapter 4 (Design Review), Title 10 of the Walnut Creek Municipal Code.
- Section 2. Approval. Based on the lindings as set forth above, this Commission approves Sign Review Application No. 85-78 subject to the following conditions:

Walnut Creek Design Review Commission Resolution No. 1323 Sign Review Application No. 85-78 - Pella Window & Door Center

 Signing shall be constructed substantially as shown in the drawings labeled "Exhibit A" and dated February 19, 1986, except as hereby modified.

the Rainut Breek Seston Evylew Counterfor the treatwe in the lower

MALESTAND OF PERSONS TO SERVER COMMISSION

- The individual letters shall be no higher than 18". The length of the sign shall be reduced proportionately.
- 3. Signing shall not be permitted on the awning.
- All letters should be blue 605-O as presented to the Commission.
- This approval shall serve as the a basis of a new sign program for 2221 - 2235 North Main Street.

Section 3. Effective Date. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

The foregoing resolution was adopted on February 19, 1986, by the Walnut Creek Design Review Commission at a regular meeting thereof upon motion by Commissioner Soffa, seconded by Commissioner Rambo.

Ayes: Soffa, Rambo, Martin, Zinov

Noes: None Absent: Camp

JERRY H. SWANSON, Secretary

Walnut Creek Design Review Commission

LETTERS to BE #605 (BLUE to MATCH 'PELLA') BELLY WHIDAW AIST BOX WORLD Shipping Center PARAPET AWNING , top ETTER 143" WINDOW 2221 N. MAIN THE NO.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 BUILDING PERMIT NO. 291625							
í	5 MARE BOY 1 3001 1 A		PLANNING INFORMATION		VALUATION REC 97)	n/c .	
- 1	BOX WORLD	-	100 KUYEN 7771 N. MA	7115	1/5/10 3	1	
- 1	"LARENT (45 94916" 132 4/10	5	455E150# =: 174-150-00	3	7/201	CAND	
H		MATH	SUBDIVISION	_	15/6	10× 0)	
-	A NAME EL NO	-	zowa P.D.C.		ries	/	
- 1	- Williams / OJE NO LIN	-	MESICENTIAL NO OF UNITY		1 26 41.50	82-	
4	# George Covered 9-30-9-40				PLAN CHECK		
ì	NOOT (ME) (A TOY AND THAT				y/ato*4	82	
ł			THE SED THE MAT JOSE		strac 2.0 .50	1	
- 1		3	POOL D GAMAGE D ACCUSSORY D		DEV 718		
- 1	Minns for 2221 N Moin		MITALTE OFFICE MOUST D NO OF BLOCK		MEDEV FEE		
- 5			ones 9516N		ABG ITE		
1			TOTAL LOT AMEA	90 /7	ELEC PERMIT		
	LICENSED CONTRACTORS DECLARATION	ANEAS	TOTAL BLOG AMEA		MECH PERMIT	-	
	I hersey aftern the I am licensed under provisions of Chapter 8	3	3A058 50 FT NET	50 FT	POOL COMM		
	for wanted with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Susmoss and first waste Crips and my against to half force and other	_	PLOOR MEA OF ACO FICH	90 17	POOL PRIV		
×	COMPANY GILLIGHT DECLARATION		MONT NA MAN	- "	SPA .		
			LEFT SOIL THE PROPERT SOIL	n	OTHER	93-	
		-		\$10 4 65	10*4	83,-	
	I havely all in that I am exempt from the Contractor's License Law for the following reason (Sec. 7021 5. Survives and Professions Code: Any pity or county which requires a commit to construct, afor.	0		Contract	OLASS OF WORK		
	improve, desirabili, or report any shuctives, prior to the several, and requires the applicant for each parent to fine a signed but ament that the liberator pursuant to the provisions of the Contractor's License Less (Chapter 9) (commencing with Section 7000) of Dimican 3 of the		UP NO PC ANTO NO	1 1	BLOG TIME	REPAIR D	
			VAR NO SATE APPROVES	41980	OCC CLASS		
	Business and Professione Code: or that he is exempt therefrom and the basis for the playard exemption. Any resistor of Section 7001.5	1	DR NO SAC MISO NO	1323	wsc		
	by any applicant for a permit autigate the applicant to a civil panelty of nor more than the hundred dinters (\$000);	•	one 3/613 KONEN 85-78	ROLLA	CTHEP CONDITIONS		
	Cl. so senior of the property, or my amployees with segme as their sale of reparation, will do the work, and the structure is not	19	motor alleged VIII	/////	AS SUBHITTED		
	intended or othered for aste (Sec. 704). Summes and Profuser, is Code. The Contractor's Lipitine Like store and aprily to an owner of progenty with builds or improves therein and who their builds or improves therein and who their exus with himself or through his own amproveses, provided that such improvements are not improved to othered to sate if however, the building or improvement is not within one year of companion, the		78 4074 Joh 41	11 500	Introducto: // ///////		
			THINA VIII	1144			
			INGMERNO NO. PENNING	es wo			
	committees will have the Surtain of proving that he did not build or improve for the purpose of your	21	STREET WEEKING				
	O L as owner of the property an asculatory contracting with hismand contractions to community the propert (Sec. 1944) Stummers and	RGHT OF MAY DEDICATION			ches el cobs en cacabes 1 1979		
	Professions Curr. The Contractor's License Law does not apply to an owner or property who builds or improve Pleason, and who	SCENALS - CLAS AND CLETES					
	contracts for such projects with a contractorial floarway purpuget to the Contractor's License Law (STORM DRAMS					
	0 m many year fee	-	STREET TREES NO TIME		INSPECTION RECORD		
	Dev Oww	-	ett i gets	-	No	DATE	
	WORKER'S COMPENSATION DECLARATION	-	RRGADUAG UTKITÆS		POPMS		
	I harshy affirm that I have a contribute of content to self-insure.	-	SOLS REPORT		rem		
1	con the parties of the control of th	-	NA DISTRICT FEE 20ME NO	-	SLABS		
	mary to bissippe a book surpress	PAG CURTUCATION		ONO REG			
	Centified copy is that with the county huiding inspection department or possible. Date of LTS TO Applicance TO LTS TO LTS COMPERS COMPRISED FROM TOPACERS COMPENSATION INSURANCE. (This section need not be computed if the pannel is for one hundred defens (\$100 or less).) I write that in the performance of the work for which the period is resulted. I shall not employ any person in any nearest as as to become adaptic to the Worker's Compensation Level of Centernal Date. Applicant.		LI CERTIFICATIVA	-	GACERS		
			CO MAZAPO ZONE FL EL	1	·tud		
			CO MAZAMO ZONE FILE.		NOVOM BLEC		
					-earmo		
			CONTRACTOR		rues		
					EXTER LATE		
					DIEM HALLS		
	Date Applicant	_			GAS SERV		
	NOTICE TO APPLICANT IS after making the Cartificate of Exemplism, you should become adjust to the Worker's Compan-	-			LIC MP		
	solven provisions of the Labor Code, you must forthwith comply with such provisions or this parent shall be desired modes.	ALL IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE ACCEPTED BY THE CITY ENGINEER PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION			Office 8		
	CONSTRUCTION LENGING AGENCY	/	bds///// //////	V///////	GAS TEST		
	I handle which that there is a construction tending agency for the performance of the work for which the parties is result (Sec. 2007)		Japanes /// (/////		Inn I I I		
	o- cı	1/	//////////////////////////////////////	11/1	me 6-1 28		
	Lander's North	I hereby agree to save, indemnity and keep harmless, the issuing agency and its officials from and against all liabilities, judgments, costs, and expenses which may in any wise accrue against said agency and its officials					
	- I all have not the name or one that the store						
information is compit I agree to comply with all oily and county ordinances and pure team reading to building construction, and compile and pure team to be constructed to another than the control of the county to another than the county of			or either of them in consequence of the granting of this permit and will in				
	handly sufficing representatives of the county to enter upon the above-mentioned property for map office purposes.			gs, strictly comply with the conditions of this permit and applicable			
ordinances 1 7 /2/2/						this	
Francis Withing 6/18/84 SIGNED FOSMERE WATKENS							
1	Signature 1 Applicant or Agent / Clafe		CHMER YO CONTR	D AMON	O ones		
16.			D				

WALNUT CREEK DESIGN REVIEW COMMISSION
Resolution No. 1227
Design Review Application No. 8650
Stoll Commercial Building Remodeling

The Walnut Creek Design Review Commission does resolve as follows:

Section 1. Findings.

- On January 29, 1985 Max Stoll filed Design Review Application No. 8650 seeking design review approval of a proposal to remodel the front elevations of two one-story retail buildings located at 2221-2235 North Main Street.
- This project is categorically exempt from the requirements of CDQA under Class 1 of the Guidelines and Processing Procedures for the Review of Projects in the City of Walnut Creek.
- On February 20, 1985 the Design Review Commission reviewed the plans for Design Review Application No. 8650.
- 9. Based on the evidence presented at that meeting, the Design Review Commission finds that:
 - a. The architectural design and materials are acceptable subject to certain modifications.
 - b. The Commission can make the findings as outlined under Section 10-4.301, Chapter 4 (Design Review), Title 10 of the Walnut Creek Municipal Code.
- Section 2. Approval. Based on the findings as set forth above, this Commission therefore grants approval to Design Review Application No. 8650 subject to the following conditions:
 - The project shall be constructed substantially in conformity with the drawings labeled "Exhibit A" and dated February 20, 1985.
 - Canvas awnings, as indicated on the plans, except with a curved shape, shall be installed in lieu of metal awnings.
 - 3. A cabinet or channel-letter sign may be attached to the parapet above the awning on the southern half of the northerly building. The existing "Tile Town" cabinet sign shall be removed at the end of its lease.

- 5. Street elevation signing for businesses on the remaining portions of the buildings shall be sewn or attached to the canvas awnings and/or placed inside the windows as permitted by the sign regulations.
- 6. No roof sign shall be permitted.
- 7. The Standard Design Review Conditions as adopted by the Walnut Creek Design Review Commission by Resolution No. 420 and amended by Resolution Nos. 746 and 1013 shall apply as conditions of approval for this proposal.
- 8. A building permit must be issued or a request for a one-year extension must be filed with the Community Development Department by Pebruary 20, 1986 and subsequently approved by the Design Review Commission or this approval will become null and void on that date. Approval shall be valid for no more than six (6) months from the date of building permit issuance unless active construction shall have commenced prior to the expiration of the building permit.

Section 3. Effective Date. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

The foregoing resolution was adopted on February 20, 1985 by the Walnut Creek Design Review Commission at a regular meeting thereof upon motion by Commissioner Viets; second by Commissioner Rambo.

Ayes:

Viets, Rambo, Martin, Zinov, Camp

Noes:

None

Absent: None

JERRY H. SWAMSON, Secretary Walnut Creek Design Review Commission

Juny H. Suranson

RJ/mr doc 164 <20,29>



